

05/23/00
jc825 U.S. PTO

25-2400

A

IBM Docket No. RSW9-2000-0036-US1

jc825 U.S. PTO
09/575938
05/23/00

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office Patent Application Transmittal

Transmitted herewith for filing is the Patent Application of:

Inventors(s): Andrew D. Dingsor, Craig A. Lanzen, and Harley A. Stenzel

For: Congestion Avoidance for Threads in Servers

Enclosed are

35 pages of specification, including 30 claims, plus 6 sheets of formal drawings.

X An assignment of the invention to International Business Machines Corporation, Armonk, New York 10504.

A certified copy of a/an application.

X Declaration and Power of Attorney.

PTO-1449 & references

X A return post card

Other:

Filing Fee Calculation (For Other Than Small Entity)

Basic Fee:						\$690.00
Claims Fees:	Filed	Limit	Extra		Rate per Extra	
Total claims:	30	20	10		\$18.00	\$180.00
Independent claims:	6	3	3		\$78.00	\$234.00
	Multiple Dependent Claim Presented				\$260.00	\$0.00
Total						\$1,104.00

Please charge Deposit Account 09-0461 for the Total set forth above. The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR §1.16 and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 or to credit any overpayment to the identified account. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Express Mail Certificate

Express Mail Label No: EJ922406285US

Date: May 23, 2000

I hereby certify that I am depositing the papers identified above with the U.S. Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Address" service on the above date, addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231

Dianne Lane

Dianne Lane

BY:

Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts
Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts

Attorney of Record Reg. No. 39,808

Date:

May 23, 2000

IBM Corporation T81/062

Intellectual Property Law

PO Box 12195

Res. Tri. Park, NC 27709

Telephone: 919-543-2541 FAX 919-254-4330

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EJ92240628545 DATE OF DEPOSIT: May 23, 2000
I hereby certify that this paper and fee are being deposited with the United States Postal Service
Express Mail Post Office to Addressee service under 37 CFR §1.10 on the date indicated above and is
addressed to the Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Dianne Lane
NAME OF PERSON MAILING PAPER AND FEE

Dianne Lane
SIGNATURE OF PERSON MAILING PAPER AND FEE

INVENTORS: Andrew D. Dingsor, Craig A. Lanzen, Harley A. Stenzel

Congestion Avoidance for Threads in Servers

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a computer system, and deals more particularly with a
5 method, system, and computer program product for enhancing performance, reliability, and
recoverability of a computer running a multi-threaded server application.

Description of the Related Art

A multi-threaded application is a software program that supports concurrent execution by
multiple threads - that is, a re-entrant program. A thread is a single execution path within such a
10 program. The threads execute sequentially within one process, under control of the operating

system scheduler, which allocates time slices to available threads. A process is an instance of a running program. The operating system maintains information about each concurrent thread that enables the threads to share the CPU in time slices, but still be distinguishable from each other. For example, a different current instruction pointer is maintained for each thread, as are the values of registers. By maintaining some distinct state information, each execution path through the re-entrant program can operate independently, as if separate programs were executing. Other state information such as virtual memory and file descriptors for open I/O (input/output) streams are shared by all threads within the process for execution efficiency. On SMP (Symmetric Multiprocessor) machines, several of these threads may be executing simultaneously. The re-entrant program may contain mechanisms to synchronize these shared resources across the multiple execution paths.

Multi-threaded applications are increasingly common on servers running in an Internet environment, as well as in other networking environments such as intranets and extranets. In order to enable many clients to access the same server, the computer that receives and/or processes the client's request typically executes a multi-threaded application. The same instance of the application can then process multiple requests, where separate threads are used to isolate one client's request from the requests of other clients. When a server executes a multithreaded application program, the server may equivalently be referred to as a "threaded server", or "multi-threaded server".

The TCP/IP protocol (Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol) is the de facto

standard method of transmitting data over networks, and is widely used in Internet transmissions and in other networking environments. TCP/IP uses the concept of a connection between two “sockets” for exchanging data between two computers, where a socket is comprised of an address identifying one of the computers, and a port number that identifies a particular process on that computer. The process identified by the port number is the process that will receive the incoming data for that socket. A socket is typically implemented as a queue by each of the two computers using the connection, whereby the computer sending data on the connection queues the data it creates for transmission, and the computer receiving data on the connection queues arriving data prior to processing that data.

When a multi-threaded server application communicates using a reliable protocol such as TCP/IP, congestion may occur. TCP/IP is considered a “reliable” protocol because messages that are sent to a receiver are buffered by the sender until the receiver acknowledges receipt thereof. If the acknowledgement is not received (e.g. because the message is lost in transmission), then the buffered message can be retransmitted. A limitation is placed on the amount of data that must be buffered at the sender and at the receiver. These limitations are referred to as “window sizes”. When the amount of data a sender has sent to the receiver -- and for which no acknowledgement has been received -- reaches the sender’s window size, then the sender is not permitted to send additional data to this receiver.

When this happens, any subsequent write operations attempted by the sender will “block”. In the general case, a write operation is said to “block” when the operation does not return

control to the executing program for some period of time. This may be due to any of a number of different factors, such as: congestion in the network; a sent message that is not received by the client; a client that fails to respond in a timely manner; filling up the transport layer buffer until the window size is reached, as described above; etc. If a write operation blocks, then the thread which is processing the write operation ceases to do productive work. A server application using a reliable protocol such as TCP/IP has no way of conclusively predicting whether the write operation used to send data to a particular receiver will block. If there are a relatively small number of threads processing the set of connections for a particular server application, then relatively few blocked write operations can cause the entire server application to be blocked from functioning. With the increasing popularity of multi-threaded applications such as those running on Web servers in the Internet, which may receive thousands or even millions of "hits" (i.e. client requests for processing) per day, the performance, reliability, and recoverability of server applications becomes a critical concern. Furthermore, because an incoming request to a server application often has a human waiting for the response at the client, processing inefficiencies (such as blocked threads) in a server application must be avoided to the greatest extent possible.

Accordingly, a need exists for a technique by which these inefficiencies in the current implementations of multi-threaded server applications can be overcome.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the present invention is to provide a technique for enhancing the performance, reliability, and recoverability of multi-threaded server applications.

Another object of the present invention is to provide a technique whereby these enhancements are achieved by *a priori* avoidance of congestion for threads in multi-threaded server applications.

It is another object of the present invention to provide this congestion avoidance by enforcing a policy that limits the number of threads which may handle connections to a particular host.

It is yet another object of the present invention to provide a technique for dynamically adjusting the limit on the number of threads used for connections to a particular host.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a technique for detecting failures or blocks in communication with a particular receiving host, enabling recovery operations to be attempted.

Still another object of the present invention to provide a technique for minimizing synchronization requirements, and the negative results that may occur when multiple threads need access to the same synchronized resources.

Other objects and advantages of the present invention will be set forth in part in the description and in the drawings which follow and, in part, will be obvious from the description or may be learned by practice of the invention.

To achieve the foregoing objects, and in accordance with the purpose of the invention as broadly described herein, the present invention provides a system, method, and computer program product for enhancing performance, reliability, and recoverability of a multi-threaded application by avoiding congestion for threads therein. In a first aspect, this technique comprises: executing
5 a plurality of worker threads; receiving a plurality of incoming client requests for connections onto an incoming queue; transferring each of the received client requests for connections from the incoming queue to a wide queue, the wide queue comprising a plurality of queues wherein each of the queues is separately synchronization-protected; and servicing, by the plurality of worker
10 threads, the client requests by retrieving selected ones of the client requests from the wide queue. The transferring may further comprise placing each of the received client requests on a selected one of the plurality of queues using a First-In, First-Out (FIFO) strategy, wherein the selected one of the plurality of queues is selected using a round-robin approach. In this case, the technique further comprises returning the retrieved selected ones of the client requests to the wide queue using the FIFO strategy and the round-robin approach upon completion of the servicing.

15 In another aspect, this technique comprises: executing a plurality of worker threads; receiving a plurality of incoming client requests onto a queue, wherein each of the client requests is for a connection to a host; retrieving, by individual ones of the worker threads, a selected one of the client requests from the queue; determining a number of connections to the host to which the connection is requested in the selected client request, wherein this number are those which are
20 currently assigned to one or more of the worker threads; processing the selected client request if the number is less than an upper limit, and not processing the selected client request otherwise;

and returning the processed client request or the not processed client request to the queue. The upper limit may be a system-wide value. The upper limit may alternatively be a value specific to the host to which the connection is requested.

When the upper limit is host-specific, the value may be dynamically computed, in which case the technique further comprises: executing a supervisor thread; monitoring, by the supervisor thread, whether connections to each of the hosts succeed or fail; and decrementing the value when the connections to the host fail. Optionally, the value may be incremented when the connections to the host succeed. The monitoring preferably further comprises: setting, by each of the worker threads, a thread time stamp when the worker thread performs active work; comparing, by the supervisor thread, the thread time stamp for each of the worker threads to a system time, thereby computing an elapsed time for the worker thread; and deactivating the worker thread if the elapsed time exceeds a maximum allowable time.

This aspect may further comprise: providing information for each of the hosts, the information comprising an address of the host and a plurality of in-use flags; setting a selected one of the in-use flags when a particular worker thread is processing work on the connection to a particular host, wherein the selected one of the in-use flags is associated with the particular worker thread; and resetting the selected one of the in-use flags when the particular worker thread stops processing work on the connection to said particular host. Determining the number of currently-assigned connections preferably further comprises counting how many of the in-use flags are set.

In this aspect, the queue may be a wide queue comprised of a plurality of First-In, First-Out (FIFO) queues.

The present invention will now be described with reference to the following drawings, in which like reference numbers denote the same element throughout.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a computer workstation environment in which the present invention may be practiced;

Figure 2 is a diagram of a networked computing environment in which the present invention may be practiced;

10

Figure 3 illustrates the components involved in a preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

Figures 4 - 6 depict flowcharts which set forth the logic with which a preferred embodiment of the present invention may be implemented.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

15

Fig. 1 illustrates a representative workstation hardware environment in which the present invention may be practiced. The environment of Fig. 1 comprises a representative single user

computer workstation 10, such as a personal computer, including related peripheral devices. The workstation 10 includes a microprocessor 12 and a bus 14 employed to connect and enable communication between the microprocessor 12 and the components of the workstation 10 in accordance with known techniques. The workstation 10 typically includes a user interface adapter 16, which connects the microprocessor 12 via the bus 14 to one or more interface devices, such as a keyboard 18, mouse 20, and/or other interface devices 22, which can be any user interface device, such as a touch sensitive screen, digitized entry pad, etc. The bus 14 also connects a display device 24, such as an LCD screen or monitor, to the microprocessor 12 via a display adapter 26. The bus 14 also connects the microprocessor 12 to memory 28 and long-term storage 30 which can include a hard drive, diskette drive, tape drive, etc.

The workstation 10 may communicate with other computers or networks of computers, for example via a communications channel or modem 32. Alternatively, the workstation 10 may communicate using a wireless interface at 32, such as a CDPD (cellular digital packet data) card. The workstation 10 may be associated with such other computers in a local area network (LAN) or a wide area network (WAN), or the workstation 10 can be a client in a client/server arrangement with another computer, etc. All of these configurations, as well as the appropriate communications hardware and software, are known in the art.

Fig. 2 illustrates a data processing network 40 in which the present invention may be practiced. The data processing network 40 may include a plurality of individual networks, such as wireless network 42 and network 44, each of which may include a plurality of individual

workstations 10. Additionally, as those skilled in the art will appreciate, one or more LANs may be included (not shown), where a LAN may comprise a plurality of intelligent workstations coupled to a host processor.

Still referring to Fig. 2, the networks 42 and 44 may also include mainframe computers or servers, such as a gateway computer 46 or application server 47 (which may access a data repository 48). A gateway computer 46 serves as a point of entry into each network 44. The gateway 46 may be preferably coupled to another network 42 by means of a communications link 50a. The gateway 46 may also be directly coupled to one or more workstations 10 using a communications link 50b, 50c. The gateway computer 46 may be implemented utilizing an Enterprise Systems Architecture/370 available from IBM, an Enterprise Systems Architecture/390 computer, etc. Depending on the application, a midrange computer, such as an Application System/400 (also known as an AS/400) may be employed. (“Enterprise Systems Architecture/370” is a trademark of IBM; “Enterprise Systems Architecture/390”, “Application System/400”, and “AS/400” are registered trademarks of IBM.)

The gateway computer 46 may also be coupled 49 to a storage device (such as data repository 48). Further, the gateway 46 may be directly or indirectly coupled to one or more workstations 10.

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the gateway computer 46 may be located a great geographic distance from the network 42, and similarly, the workstations 10 may be located

a substantial distance from the networks 42 and 44. For example, the network 42 may be located in California, while the gateway 46 may be located in Texas, and one or more of the workstations 10 may be located in New York. The workstations 10 may connect to the wireless network 42 using a networking protocol such as TCP/IP over a number of alternative connection media, such as cellular phone, radio frequency networks, satellite networks, etc. The wireless network 42 preferably connects to the gateway 46 using a network connection 50a such as TCP or UDP (User Datagram Protocol) over IP, X.25, Frame Relay, ISDN (Integrated Services Digital Network), PSTN (Public Switched Telephone Network), etc. The workstations 10 may alternatively connect directly to the gateway 46 using dial connections 50b or 50c. Further, the wireless network 42 and network 44 may connect to one or more other networks (not shown), in an analogous manner to that depicted in Fig. 2.

Software programming code which embodies the present invention is typically accessed by the microprocessor 12 of a server (such as gateway 46 or server 47) from long-term storage media 30 of some type, such as a CD-ROM drive or hard drive. The software programming code may be embodied on any of a variety of known media for use with a data processing system, such as a diskette, hard drive, or CD-ROM. The code may be distributed on such media, or may be distributed to users from the memory or storage of one computer system over a network of some type to other computer systems for use by users of such other systems. Alternatively, the programming code may be embodied in the memory 28, and accessed by the microprocessor 12 using the bus 14. The techniques and methods for embodying software programming code in memory, on physical media, and/or distributing software code via networks are well known and

will not be further discussed herein.

Client machines which connect to a server application implementing the present invention may connect using a wireline connection, or a wireless connection. Wireline connections are those that use physical media such as cables and telephone lines, whereas wireless connections use media such as satellite links, radio frequency waves, and infrared waves. Many connection techniques can be used with these various media, such as: using the computer's modem to establish a connection over a telephone line; using a LAN card such as Token Ring or Ethernet; using a cellular modem to establish a wireless connection; etc. The connecting computer may be any type of computer processor, including laptop, handheld or mobile computers; vehicle-mounted devices; desktop computers; mainframe computers; etc., having processing (and optionally communication) capabilities. The remote server, similarly, can be one of any number of different types of computer which have processing and communication capabilities. These techniques are well known in the art, and the hardware devices and software which enable their use are readily available.

In the preferred embodiment, the present invention is implemented as computer software. The software may be implemented as one or more modules (also referred to as code subroutines, or "objects" in object-oriented programming). The server for which the present invention operates may be functioning as a Web server, where that Web server provides services in response to requests from a client connected through the Internet. Alternatively, the server may be in a corporate intranet or extranet of which the client's workstation is a component, or in any

other network environment. The present invention may also be used advantageously in a proxy server. Hereinafter, the term “server” will be used to refer to any computer in which the present invention operates. The server may be communicating with a client machine to service requests from that client machine, including requests initiated by a human user. Or, the server may be communicating with other computers such as backend database servers. The term “host” will be used hereinafter to refer to any machine other than the one for which an implementation of the present invention is being described, without regard to the purpose for communicating with that host.

The preferred embodiment of the present invention will now be discussed in more detail with reference to Figs. 3 through 6.

The present invention provides improvements in performance, reliability, and recoverability for multi-threaded server applications by avoiding congestion for threads. Typically, the present invention will be used in servers which operate in an Internet environment. Alternatively, the servers may be operating in an intranet, extranet, or any other type of networking environment.

Threads may have different roles within a server application. The term “supervisor thread” is used herein to refer to a thread which, *inter alia*, monitors operation of other threads. The threads which are monitored are those performing the actual servicing of incoming requests, and are referred to herein as “worker threads”. The number of worker threads to be created for a

particular server application is typically set to some default value, or may be specified (e.g. by a systems administrator) as a configuration parameter during initialization. Typically, this parameter is set so that the server application has a relatively large number of threads, in order to deal with the maximum anticipated connection load (i.e. the maximum number of incoming client requests), or a connection load which has been determined to be optimal for this application. These concepts are well known in the art.

For applications which receive requests from a number of clients, a special “passive” or “listener” socket is created which represents a queue of pending client connections. Each client that needs the services of this application requests a connection to this passive socket, by using the same server port number (although communications using a secure protocol such as Secure Sockets Layer, or “SSL”, typically use a different port number than “normal” communications without security, for the same application). The server application accepts a pending client connection from the special passive socket. This creates a new server socket, which is then assigned to an available worker thread for processing. These concepts are also well known in the art.

Worker threads are typically implemented as repeating loops. At the beginning of this loop, a worker thread of the prior art checks the passive socket queue (i.e. the queue which receives incoming connection requests) to determine if there are any connection requests. If a request is waiting, the worker thread removes the request from the queue, and begins to process it. If no request is waiting, the worker thread becomes an idle thread. As a worker thread

completes the work request it has been processing, it looks on the queue for its next request.

When no more work can be processed for this connection, the worker thread requeues the connection it has been working on. The connection may then be serviced in the future by the same or a different worker thread.

5 These prior art approaches have certain inefficiencies, however. When prior art queues are shared resources, access to them must be synchronized. Semaphores are typically used for this synchronization, where in the context of connection or socket queues a worker thread sets (i.e. turns on) a semaphore when it is in the process of removing a connection from a socket queue. Other worker threads which may try to access the socket queue will see that the semaphore is set, and will not try to remove a connection until the semaphore has been reset (i.e. turned off). This prior art technique prevents collisions among the worker threads as they access the shared resource, but the serialized access which it enforces leads to wasted processing time for those worker threads which are prevented from obtaining a connection from the queue while the semaphore is set.

15 Instead of the single queue approach used in the prior art for handling connections, in one aspect of the present invention, a “wide” queue or meta-queue (that is, a queue of queues) is used to avoid the above-described inefficiency. A wide queue 310 is depicted in Fig. 3. The elements in this queue 310 are themselves queues (see 311, 312, 313, 314). The listener thread which services the passive socket queue now simply accepts incoming sockets from that queue, and
20 immediately passes them to the wide queue for enqueueing. The worker threads now enqueue and

dequeue elements from this wide queue. (Note that the optimal number of queues to use as elements of the wide queue may vary from one implementation to another, and thus the depiction in Fig. 3 is merely illustrative.)

Worker threads, the collection of which is referred to herein as the “thread pool” for a server application, are depicted at element 330 of Fig. 3. According to the present invention, when a worker thread becomes available for servicing a request, it issues a dequeue request against the wide queue 310. In the preferred embodiment, a round-robin approach is used to select from among the plurality of queues 311, 312, etc. in the wide queue, and a connection request is dequeued from the selected queue using a FIFO (First-In, First-Out) strategy. A semaphore is used for protecting each of the individual queues 311, 312, etc., but not for protecting the wide queue. Thus the synchronization is distributed, and is applied at a lower level, when using the present invention. This approach reduces the synchronization among competing worker threads, and thereby decreases the amount of time and processing power that is wasted due to competing worker threads waiting to dequeue a connection. (The processing used by a worker thread after it dequeues a connection from the wide queue defined for the present invention is described below with reference to Fig. 4.)

In another aspect of the present invention, a HostRecord object 350 (or an equivalent data structure, when the present invention is used in non-object-oriented systems) is created for each distinct host address with which the server application communicates. Each HostRecord object 350 comprises a host’s address 351 (which is preferably the host’s IP address), and a plurality of

bits or flags shown in Fig. 3 as elements 352, 353, 354, 355. Each of these flags in the HostRecord object corresponds to a particular worker thread, and is set on when that worker thread is currently processing work for a connection to this host. By using a plurality of flags in this manner, the present invention enables synchronization requirements to be further reduced. That is, the worker threads do not need to set, clear, and check semaphores when accessing a shared object which maintains information regarding the host. Instead, each worker thread has its own "in-use" flag in the HostRecord objects of the present invention. By accessing only their own flags, the worker threads avoid collision as multiple threads may access a single HostRecord object. The in-use flags are preferably implemented as a fixed-size array which supports a fixed number of worker threads. (If the number of worker threads fluctuates over time, the existing arrays may be replaced with new arrays of the appropriate size and with settings that reflect the new number of worker threads.)

A host record table 340 maintains entries for each host with which the multi-threaded application is communicating, as well as a pointer to that host's HostRecord object. When a worker thread dequeues a connection from the wide queue, it consults the host record table to find the HostRecord object for the host to which the connection corresponds. Preferably, the host record table is indexed by an identifier (such as the host's IP address) associated with the host, or a hashed value of such an identifier.

The flowchart in Fig. 4 depicts a preferred embodiment of the logic which may be used by a worker thread after it dequeues a connection from the wide queue. The process begins at Block

400, where the worker thread performs the dequeuing operation and retrieves the host's HostRecord object (as described above with reference to the wide queue and the host record table). According to an aspect of the present invention, worker threads do not perform work for a connection where that work can be expected to block, e.g. because there is currently no data waiting to be processed for this connection, or because data has already been sent on the connection during the worker thread's processing and a response to this data must now be awaited. Thus, if there is no data waiting for the dequeued connection, the worker thread requeues the connection and retrieves a different connection (e.g. by transferring control to Block 430); otherwise, the worker thread reads the waiting data for this connection, and the processing of Fig. 4 for that connection continues. The worker thread then determines how many connections to this host are currently in use (Block 410), by counting the number of in-use flags in the HostRecord object which are set on.

According to the present invention, a policy is defined which limits the number of concurrent connections to a particular host which are being serviced by worker threads.

Enforcing this policy decreases the likelihood that the entire server application, or a significant portion thereof, will become blocked: if a particular host fails or exhibits other behavior that causes the threads servicing it to block, a number of other threads (which cannot be assigned to this host because of the policy) continue servicing connections to other hosts. In one aspect, the policy may set the limit to a fixed number. A single fixed number may apply to each host throughout the system, or alternatively, a different fixed limit may be used for each host. In another aspect, the limit may be adjusted dynamically, based upon whether writes to hosts are

observed to succeed or fail. This dynamic limit preferably applies on a per-host basis, enabling each host to have a limit based on that host's recent behavior. When a fixed limit is used, the value of the limit may be statically coded into the implementation, or may be obtained as a configuration parameter (for example, from a systems administrator). When a dynamic, per-host limit is used, the value of the limit is preferably computed as described below with reference to Block 560 of Fig. 5.

When host-specific policy limits are used, it may be desirable to assign a higher limit to one or more hosts which are considered to be "important". For example, if a server application typically communicates with a particular back-end data server (which fails only in very rare circumstances), it may be desirable to allow a higher number of connections to this host. The higher limit may be assigned by specifying an identifier for this host, such as its IP address, along with the value to use for this host's limit. In a server application which has 20 worker threads, a single "important" host of this type might have a limit of 11 worker threads, as an example. If several important hosts are identified for this same scenario, then the limit might be set to a lower number such as 3 worker threads per host.

Block 420 obtains the limit value (referred to herein as "MaxThreadsOnHost") for connections to this host, according to the choices just described. That is, if a single static limit is implemented, the value of that limit may be stored as a global variable, or retrieved from a configuration file, etc. If a per-host limit is implemented, whether static or dynamic, then the host identifier may be used to retrieve this host's limit. (A per-host limit is preferably stored in the

HostRecord object for each host.) The number of threads computed in Block 410 (referred to herein as "ThreadsOnHost") is compared to the MaxThreadsOnHost value. If ThreadsOnHost is less than MaxThreadsOnHost, then the policy permits assigning this worker thread to service the connections to this host, and processing continues to Block 440. Otherwise, this host already has the maximum number of worker threads assigned, so control transfers to Block 430.

When control reaches Block 440, the worker thread has been allowed to service the connection to this host. The worker thread thus marks its associated flag as "in-use" in this host's HostRecord object, and processes work for the connection (Block 460). The processing of the connection's work occurs similarly to the prior art, with the limitation that the work must be partitioned in such a way that all state information resides in a connection object (or similar structure) which can be used to resume processing, and that this connection object is stateful. When the processing for the connection completes, the worker thread unmarks its in-use flag (Block 460).

Upon reaching Block 430 (either due to completion of the worker thread's processing, or because the worker thread was not allowed to service this connection), the connection is closed if its work has completed, or is requeued onto the wide queue otherwise. Preferably, requeuing onto the wide queue is done in the same round-robin, FIFO approach used for dequeuing. Control then returns to Block 400, where the worker thread begins another cycle of obtaining a connection and attempting to service that connection.

5

Fig. 5 depicts the logic which may be used to implement a supervisor thread's monitoring and recovery of worker threads. This logic preferably operates in parallel with that of Fig. 4, and is also an iterative loop. At Block 500, the supervisor thread obtains information about a first worker thread. The logic of Fig. 5 then proceeds to evaluate each worker thread in turn, to determine their status. Preferably, all the threads in the thread pool are evaluated in sequence. The evaluation process is directed toward determining whether each worker thread is blocked, waiting for a response from a host that may have failed or otherwise become unavailable.

10

Block 520 evaluates the current worker thread's status. In the preferred embodiment, a time stamp is used to determine when a response from a host has taken too long. Each worker thread preferably maintains its own version of this time stamp, and updates the time stamp value during the processing loop shown in Fig. 6. A value referred to in Fig. 5 as "BlockedTooLong" is preferably set using a statically-coded default or a value obtained as a configuration parameter, indicating the system-specific time after which it is safe to assume that a thread is blocked waiting for a host response that will not arrive.

15

At Block 540, the current worker thread's time stamp value (referred to in Figs. 5 and 6 as "ThreadIterationTime") is subtracted from the current system time. If the result is greater than the value of BlockedTooLong, then processing continues to Block 560 where the supervisor thread attempts to recover the worker thread's connection. Otherwise, control transfers to Block 550. (As will be obvious, care should be taken when determining the value to use for

20

"BlockedTooLong" to avoid inadvertently recovering threads from host connections which are

merely experiencing temporary delays.) If the connection can be recovered, the supervisor thread requeues it onto the wide queue (as described above); otherwise, the supervisor thread closes the connection. In this manner, the reliability and recoverability of the server application is improved.

When dynamic host-specific policy limits are implemented, the recovery process of Block 560 also comprises determining, by the supervisor thread, which host the worker thread was communicating with (e.g. by inspecting the in-use flags in the HostRecord objects), and incrementing a failure counter for this host. The host-specific failure counter may then be used in an application-specific manner to decrement the MaxThreadsOnHost value for this host. (For example, for every two failures to one host, the MaxThreadsOnHost value may be decremented by one. Other approaches, such as a one-for-one decrement, may be used as well.)

At Block 570, the supervisor thread attempts to recover the worker thread which was blocked. If the recovery is successful, the thread is reused by restarting the processing of Fig. 4; otherwise, the worker thread is killed and a new worker thread is created to replace it. In this latter case, the new worker thread preferably uses the same index into the in-use flags of the HostRecord objects as the killed thread. Control then transfers to Block 550.

Control reaches Block 550 when the test in Block 540 has a negative result, and following completion of Block 570. When dynamic host-specific policy limits are implemented, an additional operation may optionally be inserted following the negative result of Block 540 and the test depicted in Block 550. This additional operation comprises incrementing a success counter

for the host which the currently-evaluated worker thread is servicing. The success counter is similar to the failure counter described above, but is used to increment the MaxThreadsOnHost value for this host. (Again, application-specific considerations may be used to determine whether to increment the MaxThreadsOnHost value for each increment of the success counter, or whether to use another approach for the incrementing.)

The test in Block 550 asks whether there are any more worker threads to be evaluated on this pass through the thread pool. If so, then at Block 530 the supervisor obtains information for the next worker thread, and control returns to Block 520 to begin the evaluation for this worker thread. Otherwise, when all the threads in the thread pool have been processed, control returns to Block 510, which preferably causes the supervisor thread to go into an idle or “sleep” state. In the preferred embodiment, a sleep timer is used to control how often the supervisor thread performs the evaluation process of Fig. 5. Thus, the supervisor thread remains asleep until the timer expires, after which the evaluation process begins again at Block 500.

The flowchart in Fig. 6 describes the logic with which the worker threads update their ThreadIterationTime time stamp value. (This value is used by the supervisor thread in Block 540 of Fig. 5, as described above.) Each worker thread updates its ThreadIterationTime as shown at Block 600, after which the worker thread dequeues a connection (Block 610), processes that connection (Block 630), and either closes the connection (if its work has completed) or returns the connection to the wide queue (Block 620). The cycle then begins again, such that the worker thread keeps its ThreadIterationTime value constantly updated while it is actively performing

work on connections. (As will be obvious, the updating of ThreadIterationTime may be added to the operations shown in Block 400 of Fig. 4.)

While a number of processing improvements over the prior art have been described, a particular implementation of the present invention is not required to implement each of these improvements. For example, a conventional one-dimensional queue of the prior art may be used instead of the wide queue which has been described: the improvements obtained through monitoring the number of connections to a particular host are independent of the queue structure, and thus an implementation of the present invention which omits the wide queue still achieves improvements over the prior art. As another example, the use of success and failure counters is an optional aspect of the present invention, and advantageous results can be achieved without implementing either or both of these counters or the dynamic adjustments of host-specific policy limits which these counters facilitate.

While the preferred embodiment of the present invention has been described, additional variations and modifications in that embodiment may occur to those skilled in the art once they learn of the basic inventive concepts. Therefore, it is intended that the appended claims shall be construed to include both the preferred embodiment and all such variations and modifications as fall within the spirit and scope of the invention.

What is claimed is:

1 1. A computer program product for enhancing performance of a multithreaded application,
2 said computer program product embodied on a computer-readable medium and comprising:
3 computer-readable program code means for executing a plurality of worker threads;
4 computer-readable program code means for receiving a plurality of incoming client
5 requests for connections onto an incoming queue;
6 computer-readable program code means for transferring each of said received client
7 requests for connections from said incoming queue to a wide queue, said wide queue comprising a
8 plurality of queues wherein each of said queues is separately synchronization-protected; and
9 computer-readable program code means for servicing, by said plurality of worker threads,
10 said client requests by retrieving selected ones of said client requests from said wide queue.

1 2. The computer program product according to Claim 1, wherein said computer-readable
2 program code means for transferring further comprises:
3 computer-readable program code means for placing each of said received client requests
4 on a selected one of said plurality of queues using a First-In, First-Out (FIFO) strategy, wherein
5 said selected one of said plurality of queues is selected using a round-robin approach; and further
6 comprising:
7 computer-readable program code means for returning said retrieved selected ones of said
8 client requests to said wide queue using said FIFO strategy and said round-robin approach upon
9 completion of said computer-readable program code means for servicing.

1 3. A computer program product for enhancing performance of a multithreaded application,
2 said computer program product embodied on a computer-readable medium and comprising:
3 computer-readable program code means for executing a plurality of worker threads;
4 computer-readable program code means for receiving a plurality of incoming client
5 requests onto a queue, wherein each of said client requests is for a connection to a host;
6 computer-readable program code means for retrieving, by individual ones of said worker
7 threads, a selected one of said client requests from said queue;
8 computer-readable program code means for determining a number of connections to said
9 host to which said connection is requested in said selected client request, wherein said number are
10 those which are currently assigned to one or more of said worker threads;
11 computer-readable program code means for processing said selected client request if said
12 number is less than an upper limit, and for not processing said selected client request otherwise;
13 and
14 computer-readable program code means for returning said processed client request or said
15 not processed client request to said queue.

1 4. The computer program product according to Claim 3, wherein said upper limit is a
2 system-wide value.

1 5. The computer program product according to Claim 3, wherein said upper limit is a value
2 specific to said host to which said connection is requested.

1 6. The computer program product according to Claim 5, wherein said value is dynamically
2 computed, and further comprising:

3 computer-readable program code means for executing a supervisor thread;

4 computer-readable program code means for monitoring, by said supervisor thread,
5 whether connections to each of said hosts succeed or fail; and

6 computer-readable program code means for decrementing said value when said
7 connections to said host fail.

8 7. The computer program product according to Claim 6, further comprising:

9 computer-readable program code means for incrementing said value when said
connections to said host succeed.

1 8. The computer program product according to Claim 6, wherein said computer-readable
2 program code means for monitoring further comprises:

3 computer-readable program code means for setting, by each of said worker threads, a
4 thread time stamp when said worker thread performs active work;

5 computer-readable program code means for comparing, by said supervisor thread, said
6 thread time stamp for each of said worker threads to a system time, thereby computing an elapsed
7 time for said worker thread; and

8 computer-readable program code means for deactivating said worker thread if said elapsed
9 time exceeds a maximum allowable time.

1 9. The computer program product according to Claim 3, further comprising:
2 computer-readable program code means for providing information for each of said hosts,
3 said information comprising an address of said host and a plurality of in-use flags;
4 computer-readable program code means for setting a selected one of said in-use flags
5 when a particular worker thread is processing work on said connection to a particular host,
6 wherein said selected one of said in-use flags is associated with said particular worker thread; and
7 computer-readable program code means for resetting said selected one of said in-use flags
8 when said particular worker thread stops processing work on said connection to said particular
9 host; and
10 wherein said computer-readable program code means for determining said number of
11 currently-assigned connections further comprises computer-readable program code means for
12 counting how many of said in-use flags are set.

1 10. The computer program product according to Claim 3, wherein said queue is a wide queue
2 comprised of a plurality of First-In, First-Out (FIFO) queues.

1 11. A system for enhancing performance of a multithreaded application, comprising:
2 means for executing a plurality of worker threads;
3 means for receiving a plurality of incoming client requests for connections onto an
4 incoming queue;
5 means for transferring each of said received client requests for connections from said
6 incoming queue to a wide queue, said wide queue comprising a plurality of queues wherein each

7 of said queues is separately synchronization-protected; and

8 means for servicing, by said plurality of worker threads, said client requests by retrieving

9 selected ones of said client requests from said wide queue.

1 12. The system according to Claim 11, wherein said means for transferring further comprises:

2 means for placing each of said received client requests on a selected one of said plurality

3 of queues using a First-In, First-Out (FIFO) strategy, wherein said selected one of said plurality of

4 queues is selected using a round-robin approach; and further comprising:

5 means for returning said retrieved selected ones of said client requests to said wide queue

6 using said FIFO strategy and said round-robin approach upon completion of said means for

7 servicing.

8 13. A system for enhancing performance of a multithreaded application, comprising:

9 means for executing a plurality of worker threads;

10 means for receiving a plurality of incoming client requests onto a queue, wherein each of

11 said client requests is for a connection to a host;

12 means for retrieving, by individual ones of said worker threads, a selected one of said

13 client requests from said queue;

14 means for determining a number of connections to said host to which said connection is

15 requested in said selected client request, wherein said number are those which are currently

16 assigned to one or more of said worker threads;

17 means for processing said selected client request if said number is less than an upper limit,

11 and for not processing said selected client request otherwise; and
12 means for returning said processed client request or said not processed client request to
13 said queue.

1 14. The system according to Claim 13, wherein said upper limit is a system-wide value.

1 15. The system according to Claim 13, wherein said upper limit is a value specific to said host
2 to which said connection is requested.

1 16. The system according to Claim 15, wherein said value is dynamically computed, and
2 further comprising:

3 means for executing a supervisor thread;

4 means for monitoring, by said supervisor thread, whether connections to each of said hosts
5 succeed or fail; and

6 means for decrementing said value when said connections to said host fail.

1 17. The system according to Claim 16, further comprising:

2 means for incrementing said value when said connections to said host succeed.

1 18. The system according to Claim 16, wherein said means for monitoring further comprises:

2 means for setting, by each of said worker threads, a thread time stamp when said worker
3 thread performs active work;

4 means for comparing, by said supervisor thread, said thread time stamp for each of said
5 worker threads to a system time, thereby computing an elapsed time for said worker thread; and
6 means for deactivating said worker thread if said elapsed time exceeds a maximum
7 allowable time.

1 19. The system according to Claim 13, further comprising:

2 means for providing information for each of said hosts, said information comprising an
3 address of said host and a plurality of in-use flags;

4 means for setting a selected one of said in-use flags when a particular worker thread is
5 processing work on said connection to a particular host, wherein said selected one of said in-use
6 flags is associated with said particular worker thread; and

7 means for resetting said selected one of said in-use flags when said particular worker
8 thread stops processing work on said connection to said particular host; and

9 wherein said means for determining said number of currently-assigned connections further
10 comprises means for counting how many of said in-use flags are set.

1 20. The system according to Claim 13, wherein said queue is a wide queue comprised of a
2 plurality of First-In, First-Out (FIFO) queues.

1 21. A method for enhancing performance of a multithreaded application, comprising the steps
2 of:

3 executing a plurality of worker threads;

4 receiving a plurality of incoming client requests for connections onto an incoming queue;
5 transferring each of said received client requests for connections from said incoming queue
6 to a wide queue, said wide queue comprising a plurality of queues wherein each of said queues is
7 separately synchronization-protected; and
8 servicing, by said plurality of worker threads, said client requests by retrieving selected
9 ones of said client requests from said wide queue.

1 22. The method according to Claim 21, wherein said transferring step further comprises the
2 steps of:

3 placing each of said received client requests on a selected one of said plurality of queues
4 using a First-In, First-Out (FIFO) strategy, wherein said selected one of said plurality of queues is
5 selected using a round-robin approach; and further comprising the step of:

6 returning said retrieved selected ones of said client requests to said wide queue using said
7 FIFO strategy and said round-robin approach upon completion of said servicing step.

1 23. A method for enhancing performance of a multithreaded application, comprising the steps
2 of:

3 executing a plurality of worker threads;

4 receiving a plurality of incoming client requests onto a queue, wherein each of said client
5 requests is for a connection to a host;

6 retrieving, by individual ones of said worker threads, a selected one of said client requests
7 from said queue;

8 determining a number of connections to said host to which said connection is requested in
9 said selected client request, wherein said number are those which are currently assigned to one or
10 more of said worker threads;

11 processing said selected client request if said number is less than an upper limit, and not
12 processing said selected client request otherwise; and

13 returning said processed client request or said not processed client request to said queue.

1 24. The method according to Claim 23, wherein said upper limit is a system-wide value.

2 25. The method according to Claim 23, wherein said upper limit is a value specific to said host
3 to which said connection is requested.

4 26. The method according to Claim 25, wherein said value is dynamically computed, and
5 further comprising the steps of:

6 executing a supervisor thread;

7 monitoring, by said supervisor thread, whether connections to each of said hosts succeed
8 or fail; and

9 decrementing said value when said connections to said host fail.

1 27. The method according to Claim 26, further comprising the step of incrementing said value
2 when said connections to said host succeed.

1 28. The method according to Claim 26, wherein said monitoring step further comprises the
2 steps of:
3 setting, by each of said worker threads, a thread time stamp when said worker thread
4 performs active work;
5 comparing, by said supervisor thread, said thread time stamp for each of said worker
6 threads to a system time, thereby computing an elapsed time for said worker thread; and
7 deactivating said worker thread if said elapsed time exceeds a maximum allowable time.

1 29. The method according to Claim 23, further comprising the steps of:
2 providing information for each of said hosts, said information comprising an address of
3 said host and a plurality of in-use flags;
4 setting a selected one of said in-use flags when a particular worker thread is processing
5 work on said connection to a particular host, wherein said selected one of said in-use flags is
6 associated with said particular worker thread; and
7 resetting said selected one of said in-use flags when said particular worker thread stops
8 processing work on said connection to said particular host; and
9 wherein said step of determining said number of currently-assigned connections further
10 comprises counting how many of said in-use flags are set.

1 30. The method according to Claim 23, wherein said queue is a wide queue comprised of a
2 plurality of First-In, First-Out (FIFO) queues.

ABSTRACT

A method, system, and computer program product for improving the performance, reliability, and recoverability of a computer running a multi-threaded server application. The described techniques enable synchronization requirements to be minimized. A policy is enforced which limits the number of worker threads currently assigned to processing connections to a particular host. Thus if a host fails, some number of worker threads continue to actively service connections to other hosts. The policy may use static or dynamic limits. A single limit may pertain to all hosts, or host-specific limits may be used.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

FIG. 1




FIG. 2
(Prior Art)




FIG. 3





FIG. 4





**FIG. 5**

FIG. 6

**DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT APPLICATION**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

Congestion Avoidance for Threads in Servers

the specification of which is identified by the attorney (IBM) Docket Number appearing above.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above- identified specification, including the claims.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Day/Month/Year</u>	<u>Priority Claimed</u>
---------------	----------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

I hereby claim the benefit (a) under Title 35, United States Code, §119(e) of any U.S. application listed below and identified as a provisional application or (b) under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any U.S. application listed below and not identified as a provisional application, and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior U.S. application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to the patentability of this application as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application

Prior U.S. Applications

<u>Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
-------------------	--------------------	---------------

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Bruce A. Clay, Reg. No. 32,121; Gregory M. Doudnikoff, Reg. No. 32,847; Edward H. Duffield, Reg. No. 25,970; Jerry W. Herndon, Reg. No. 27,901; Gerald R. Woods, Reg. No. 24,144; Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts, Reg. No. 39,808; Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No. 18,753; John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279; Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914; and Edward A. Pennington, Reg. No. 32,588;

AND also, Marcia L. Doubet, Reg. No. 40,999

Send all correspondence to: Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts, IBM Corporation T81/062; PO Box 12195; Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

First Inventor:

Andrew D. Dingsor

Signature:

Andrew D. Dingsor

5/19/2000

Date

Residence: 902 Huntsman Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27713

Citizenship: United States of America

Post Office Address: same as residence

Second Inventor:

Craig A. Lanzen

Signature:

Craig A. Lanzen

5/19/2000

Date

Residence: 205 Shalimar Drive, Durham, North Carolina 27713


Citizenship: United States of America

Post Office Address: same as residence

Third Inventor:

Harley A. Stenzel

Signature:



5-19-2000

Date

Residence: 707 Hibbard Drive, Apt. C, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Citizenship: United States of America

Post Office Address: same as residence